UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

34.3

18 USC 2511 and 18 USC 2512

IN RE: POSSIBLE VIOLATIONS

5

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

United States District Courthouse 3rd & Constitution Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C.

January 30, 1974

The testimony of HARRY R. HALDEMAN was taken in the presence of a full quorum of the Grand Jury.

BEFORE:

RICHARD BEN-VENISTE, ESQ. Assistant Special Prosecutor United States Department of Justice

JILL VOLNER
Assistant Special Prosecutor
United States Department of Justice

GERALD GOLDMAN, ESQ. Assistant Special Prosecutor United States Department of Justice

GEORGE T. FRAMPTON, JR., ESQ. Assistant Special Prosecutor United States Department of Justice

FOIA # 58707 & 58708 (URTS/16380) DocId: 70105914 Page 1

BERG rland 20760

3

5

6

7

Δ.

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KAREN S. SCHEINBERG 14 Wade Court Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760 (301) 340-2119 Q Do you understand that while the Grand Jury rules do not permit you to have an attorney present in this room, you may be excused to consult with an attorney outside of this room at any time upon request to the Foreman of the Grand Jury.

. A I understand.

Q For the record, you are represented today by counsel in the presence of Mr. Wilson and Mr. Strickler who are outside, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q So that anything you do say should be said freely and voluntarily. Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q And you understand further, as in the past, the fact that you have been advised that you are a potential target of this Grand Jury's investigation which means that you may well be considered as a defendant for purposes of indictment by this Grand Jury.

A I understand.

Q Now understanding all of those facts and rights which you possess, are you prepared to testify this morning?

A I am.

Q Mr. Haldeman, may I direct your attention to the 21st day of March, 1973 and ask whether you recall meeting on that day with the President and John Dean who was at that time

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Yes, I do.
- Q And you recall entering a meeting which was then in progress?
 - A That's correct, yes.
- Q Now following that meeting did there come a time when you had a conversation with John Mitchell who was then in New York City on the telephone?
- A Yes, I am sure there did. Let's see -- March 21st?
 Yes.
- Q Can you give us the best of your recollection of the time of the telephone conversation and the substance of it?
- I am sure that there was a telephone conversation because one of the results or one of the outcomes of the March 21st meeting with Mr. Dean and the President was a request by the President that Mr. Dean, Mr. Ehrlichman, Mr. Mitchell and I meet that day or the following day to discuss some of these questions and then to report back to the President.
- I feel sure that I called Mr. Mitchell to request his coming down for such a meeting.
- Q What do you recall of the conversation between yourself and Mr. Mitchell?
- A That's about all I recall. I am really assuming that there was such a call. I think I called him. It is

KAREN S. SCHEINBERG 14 Wade Court Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760 (301) 340-2119 possible that someone else called him. My general recollection now would be that I had called him and said that the President wanted us to meet and asked him to come down.

- Q Is it not the case that you discussed with more particularity the problems about which the President suggested you meet in your conversation with Mr. Mitchell?
 - A Not that I recall, no.
- Q Is it your testimony that you do not recall saying to Mr. Mitchell in substance that the President requested that you meet as to how to deal with Mr. Hunt's demand for substantial cash payments?
 - A Yes. I have no recollection of that being discussed.
- Q It is your testimony that -- is it your testimony that in the telephone conversation with Mr. Mitchell you did not allude in any way to the subject matter about which you would be meeting the following day?
- A My recollection is that the subject matter about which we would be meeting was the general subject of how to deal with the overall what has now become called the Watergate situation, as it stood at that time.
- I don't recall the point that you raised as being the specific subject for the meeting.
- Q I'm sorry but your answer is not responsive to my question, most respectfully. I asked whether you did not recall alluding to the subject matter in your telephone

conversation with Mr. Mitchell.

1

2

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A I don't recall alluding to the subject matter. My recollection would be that if I discussed the subject matter it would be in the context that I have just described. The purpose of the meeting was, as I recall it, to review the Watergate situation.

Q Is it not a fact, Mr. Haldeman, that in your telephone conversation with Mr. Mitchell you stated to him in
substance, or you asked him in substance, whether he was going
to take care of Mr. Hunt's problem?

- A I don't recall any such discussion, no.
- Q When you say you do not recall any such discussion, that would be something you would recall, would it not, if you had such a discussion?

A I would think so but I don't see that as having been the major point of discussion either at the time of the phone call to set up the meeting or at the meeting which took place on the 22nd.

Q You're talking now again about Mr. Hunt's specific request, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q When were you first advised that Hunt was making such a request or demand?

A To the best of my recollection, the first I knew of that was when it was raised in the March 21st meeting when it

23 24 25

was reported to the President in my presence.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is my belief that it had been discussed prior to my coming in to that meeting and then was reraised as a point of information when I came in.

Q Did you not have a conversation with John Ehrlichman prior to the meeting about which you are making reference concerning Mr. Hunt's most recent demand for funds?

A I have a general recollection of a very brief discussion of that topic with Mr. Ehrlichman. I don't think it was before the meeting with Mr. Dean and the President that morning.

I am not sure when that -- I think it was discussed or rai sed in the course of the conversation, but my recollection now is the first I heard of that was in the meeting in the President's office.

Q You say you recall some conversation with Mr.

Ehrlichman and you think it was after Mr. Dean raised it in your presence with the President or discussed it with the President in your presence.

I ask you whether, more specifically, you did not have a conversation on the 20th of March, the day before the meeting between Mr. Dean and the President to which you have alluded, with Mr. Ehrlichman at which time the discussion about Mr. Hunt's most recent demand was discussed.

A That is not my recollection at this time. It is

KAREN S. SCHEINBERG 14 Wade Court Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760 (301) 340-2119 awfully hard to put these things into time position. My
feeling now is the first I heard of that was in the March
21st morning meeting in the President's office. I can't discount the possibility of the other.

I am trying to give you my best recollection.

Q You think you may well have had a discussion with Mr. Ehrlichman on the 20th about Mr. Hunt?

A I don't recall any such. That's really all I can say. I have no recollection of such a discussion preceeding the other.

I have a recollection of that point coming up in conversation with Mr. Ehrlichman at some time. My feeling is that that was after the 21st.

Q Well, what do you recall about the substance of it coming up with Mr. Ehrlichman which would be something which might put it in a better chronological frame.

A This account is only a very general recollection.

Let's see if I can reconstruct this. What I would recall in a general sense is that there was some reference to the fact or to the report that Hunt had made some kind of a threat that he was going to reveal the seemy things he had done for Ehrlichman, or something to that effect, and that was discussed as a point of information.

Mr. Ehrlichman expressed the view that that was a matter of no concern to him because there was nothing, as far

R

KAREN S. SCHEINBERG 14 Wade Court Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760 (301) 340-2119 as he was concerned, that fit that kind of a description that he would have any concern about Mr. Hunt revealing.

In other words, his view was -- and this is the general sense -- I'm not trying toput words into it because I can't -- it was that there was no basis for a Hunt threat in that respect.

- Q That's what Mr. Ehrlichman told you at the time of your conversation?
 - A That's my recollection.
- Q As part of the threat, didn't Mr. Ehrlichman tell you that Mr. Hunt wasasking for a lot of money and that the threat to reveal these things about Mr. Ehrlichman and others was contingent on his demand not being met?
- A It is not my recollection that Mr. Ehrlichman told me that. It is my recollection that I had heard that, the substance of the threat, prior to the conversation or the raising of this point in conversation with Ehrlichman.
- Q Well, if we break that down there should be something which should trigger your recollection as to whether you heard about a threat from Mr. Ehrlichman for the first time or you heard it from Mr. Dean, and when you discussed it with Mr. Ehrlichman, whether Mr. Ehrlichman told you that the threat was a flat or unconditional threat to reveal things, or whether it was conditioned on a circumstance not being met; that is the payment of money to Mr. Hunt.

A Okay. As I thought I had said, my belief is that
I was told or that I heard of the threat in the terms that
Mr. Hunt had said he had to receive — I think it was a hundred
and twenty thousand dollars, or something like that — or he
would reveal the seamy things that he had done for Ehrlichman.
That sort of phraseology is how I recall it.

In other words, it was a threat that he had to be

In other words, it was a threat that he had to be given this amount of money or he would do the other thing.

But my recollection is that where I heard that was from John

Dean and in the President's office, not from John Ehrlichman.

- Q That wasn't the only thing that Mr. Dean had indicated that Mr. Hunt would talk about, is it?
 - A That's the only thing I recall.
- Q Isn't it a fact that the Hunt threat was in the context not only of telling these seamy things about Ehrlichman, but that he would tell what he knew about Watergate, it's break-in and its aftermath.
 - A No, that's not how I remember it at all.
 - Q It's not?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Your recollection of being so advised by Mr. Dean was that Hunt would only tell about Ehrlichman?
- A There may have been another name. It may have been Ehrlichman and someone, but my recollection very specifically in that regard is that the threat related to what was described

KAREN S. SCHEINBERG 14 Wade Court Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760 (301) 340-2119

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

as the seamy things he had done for Ehrlichman. It may have been for Ehrlichman and someone else, but it was not, as I would reconstruct it now — my impression is not that it was a threat to disclose anything other than the apparent projects that he claimed he had done for Ehrlichman.

Q Wasn't the fear expressed that in terms of Hunt "blowing"?

A It may have been, and if it was, my recollection again would be that it was in that context.

Q Now what was Mr. Mitchell's response to you on the telephone, to your invitation?

A Again, it would have to be basically speculation. I think that I transmitted to him the request to attend the meeting, and he said that he would be down the first thing in the morning and a meeting was therefore set up.

Q And later on is it not a fact that Mr. Mitchell's travel plans were confirmed and you were apprised of his time of arrival?

- A That's probably true, yes.
- Q Do you recall that?

A No, I don't now but I imagine that would be the case.

I don't know whether it was later on or he confirmed it at the time. I'm not sure of that.

Q Now Mr. Haldeman, you recall -- do you recall providing to the United States Attorney's Office, and specifically

KAREN S. SCHEINBERG 14 Wade Court Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760 (301) 340-2119

23

24

to Mr. Silbert, copies of the various telephone logs of yours?

- A Yes.
- Q Where are the originals of those logs?
- A I don't know. I'm not sure. We may have turned over the originals. They may be in my attorneys' possession. They may still be in the White House files.
- Q Would you like to confer with counsel with respect to the answer to that question?
 - A Fine.

(Whereupon, the witness left the Grand Jury room to confer with counsel.)

(Whereupon, the witness reentered the Grand Jury room.)

BY MR. BEN-VENISTE:

- Q Mr. Haldeman, have you had the opportunity to consult with counsel?
 - A I have.
- Q Are you able to answer the question with respect to your logs?
- A It is my counsel's belief that they were provided with several Xerox copies of those logs, but they do not have, and did not have the originals at any time.

On the basis of that, I can only assume, and this would be my best belief, that the originals are still in the White House files. Prior to my leaving the White House, and

KAREN S. SCHEINBERG 14 Wade Court Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760 (301) 340-2119

23

24

for the purpose of turning over copies to the U. S. Attorney's Office, copies were made of those logs. A Xerox copy was held in my attorney's file and copies were turned over to the U. S. Attorney's Office.

Q So that you requested that the White House Xerox various of your documents which were in the presidential files?

A There was a specific request for these logs apparently, and the copies were made and they were turned over. That is my understanding.

Q Were you provided a copy of whatever was turned over to the U. S. Attorney's Office?

A Yes. My recollection is that these were not in the White House files at the time. They were held by my secretary in my office.

MR. BEN-VENISTE: May we mark this as Exhibit HRH-1 of this date?

(Whereupon, Grand Jury Exhibit HRH-1 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. BEN-VENISTE:

Q I'll show this to you, Mr. Haldeman, and ask if this does not appear to be one of the logs turned over to you — turned over by you to the U. S. Attorney's Office.

A It appears to be, yes.

Q Now if you will notice, this is a telephone memorandum log dated March 21, 1973, Wednesday, H. R. Haldeman, and

KAREN S. SCHEINBERG 14 Wade Court Gaithersburg, Meryland 20760 (301) 340-2119

it begins at 2:50 p.m.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Right.
- Q With the first entry.
- A Right.
- Q Do you know where the first page of that log is, as
 I will advise you that it has never been turned over to the
 Government.
- A Is this identified as the second page? Yes, it is page 2. No, I have no idea.
 - Q Have you ever seen page 1?
- I have not made any attempt to review these logs so
 I probably haven't. As I have indicated in earlier appearances,
 this log was not kept by me. It was kept by my secretary. I
 am not familiar. I didn't even know it existed, as a matter
 of fact, until the request was made and we got it.
 - Q Have you ever seen page 1?
- A Not that I recall. I have no reason to believe that I have. Let me volunteer that if there is a page 1, and I would like to ask that we ask my attorneys, and we can do it right now if you want to, to check, they do have -- Mr. Strickler said they have a copy in their file. They can search that and see if there is a page 1 for that date which is quite possible that it is just left out.

THE FOREMAN: Would you please do so?
THE WITNESS: Sure.

4

6

7.

y

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

KAREN S. SCHEINBERG 14 Wade Court Gaithersburg, Maryland 20760 (301) 340-2119 (Whereupon, the witness left the Grand Jury room to confer with counsel.)

(Whereupon, the witness reentered the Grand Jury room.)

BY MR. BEN-VENISTE:

Q Mr. Haldeman, have you checked the copy that you have or that your attorneys have of the March 21 telephone logs?

A I have not. I have talked with Mr. Strickler and he is calling his office. It is in the file in his office and he is calling to have it checked right now. As soon as he has an answer he will notify the Marshal.

Q Do you have any knowledge as to any deliberate with-holding of this document?

A No.

Q Either by your attorneys or by anyone at the White House?

A No, I don't. It was our intent and thought that we had turned over the complete file, both the telephone logs and the date and appointment files.

Q Now with respect to the tape recording of the conversation about which you have testified between the President and Mr. Dean, and then later yourself, on the 21st of March, 1973, did you ever make a duplicate of this tape or of any portion of the tape?

A No. My answer is no, but I want to be sure I